Open letter: The European Commission must take into account the answers of the CJEU to the clarifications requested by the French Council of State concerning the status of new genomic techniques in EU law

European Commissioner for Health and Food Safety Stella Kyriakides,
European Commissioner for the Environment Virginijus Sinkevičius,
European Commissioner for Agriculture Janusz Wojciechowski,
Dear Members of the European Parliament,
Dear Representatives of the Member States to the European Union,

As peasants’, environmental and civil society organisations, we would like to once again raise our concerns about the European Commission's initiative on new techniques of genetic modification, which aims to deregulate certain GMOs. As a reminder, this initiative follows a 2019 request from the Council of the European Union asking the European Commission to produce a study on the status of new genomic techniques in light of the July 2018 ruling of the
Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU), which confirmed that organisms derived from new mutagenesis techniques are GMOs and must be regulated as such. The Commission has stated its intention to conclude this initiative in the second quarter of 2023, either with a proposal to amend the current regulation or to maintain it.

With this open letter, we would like to draw your attention to a key point in the context of this initiative: on 8 November 2021, the French Council of State referred two new questions on GMOs to the CJEU. This referral follows the inception impact assessment published on 24 September by the European Commission, which considers that Directive 2001/18 is “no longer fit for purpose” due to several reasons including “legal uncertainties” resulting from the lack of a clear definition of the terms "mutagenesis", "conventionally used in a number of applications” and “long safety record”.

In this referral, the French Council of State has called on the CJEU to clarify these definitions. It has raised the following two questions:

1. Is Article 3(1) of Directive 2001/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 March 2001 on the deliberate release into the environment of genetically modified organisms and repealing Council Directive 90/220/EEC, read in conjunction with point 1 of Annex I B to that directive and in the light of recital 17 of the Directive, to be interpreted as meaning that, in order to distinguish amongst techniques/methods of mutagenesis those techniques/methods which have conventionally been used in a number of applications and have a long safety record, within the meaning of the judgement of the Court of Justice of 25 July 2018, consideration need be given only to the methods by which the mutageous agent modifies the genetic material of the organism, or must account be taken of all the variations in the organism induced by the process used, including somaclonal variations, which may affect human health and the environment?

2. Is Article 3(1) of Directive 2001/18/EC of 12 March 2001, read in conjunction with point 1 of Annex I B to that directive and in the light of recital 17 of the Directive, to be interpreted as meaning that, in order to determine whether a technique/method of mutagenesis has conventionally been used in a number of applications and has a long safety record, within the meaning of the judgement of the Court of Justice of 25 July 2018, account need be taken only of open field cultivation of the organisms obtained using that method/technique, or may account also be taken of

---

1 Ruling of the Court of Justice of the European Union, 25 July 2018, case C 528/16.
research work and publications that do not relate to such cultivation and, in relation to that work and those publications, is consideration to be given only to work and publications relating to risks for human health or the environment?

These questions not only concern a French case, nor the sole application of Directive 2001/18, but also the fundamental principles of EU law, such as the precautionary principle, on which EU food and environmental law is based, including EU law on the release of genetically modified organisms into the environment and onto consumers' plates. Indeed, several key questions arise: does the European regulation concern only the genetic modifications claimed by companies, as recommended by American law, which considers that the modified plant would be, apart from this claimed modification, "equivalent in substance" to plants resulting from traditional breeding processes? Or does it cover the entire genetically modified plant, including the many other genetic, epigenetic, proteomic, alterations, so on and so forth, which result from the genetic modification process used, and may present risks to health, the environment and agricultural systems, and represent an uncertainty for all operators, from peasant to consumer, including the retailer? Can the risks resulting from the release of GM plants into the environment be solely assessed in the laboratory, or should they be assessed in the natural environment in which they are to be released, out in actual field conditions, and with real food trials?

The CJEU is about to rule on how the EU Treaty’s precautionary principle is to be interpreted with regards to GMOs. If the European Commission preempts the CJEU, i.e., presents its proposal before the ruling, it risks drawing up a proposal that is inconsistent with the EU Treaty. Therefore, heeding the separation of powers, the Commission must incorporate the CJEU’s findings in its proposal placed on the Commission’s agenda in 2023 - if it still deems that proposal necessary. It cannot rush out a proposal without incorporating these important elements, based on incomplete information.

In consequence, the undersigned European peasants’ and civil society organisations call on the European Commission to suspend the agenda of the legal initiative to amend EU GMO law launched on 29 April until the publication of the CJEU’s answers.

---

3 When allowed by the national regulatory framework.
Signatories:

**European organisations**
Corporate Europe Observatory
European Coordination Via Campesina (ECVC)
European Non-GMO Industry Association (ENGA)
Friends of the Earth Europe
Greenpeace Europe
IFOAM Organics Europe
Slow Food Europe

**International organisations**
Biodynamic Federation Demeter International
FIAN International
URGENCI

**National organisations**:

**Austria**
ARGE Gentechnik-frei
ÖBV-Via Campesina Austria

**Belgium**
Agroecology in Action
BioForum
Boerenforum
Bond Beter Leefmilieu Vlaanderen
Broederlijk Delen
Climaxi
Collectactif
Fédération Unie de Groupements d’Éleveurs et d’Agriculteurs (FUGEA)
FIAN Belgium
Inter-Environnement Wallonie
Landwijzer
La Ceinture alimentaire namuroise
Mouvement d’Action Paysanne (MAP)
Natagora
Nature et Progrès Belge
Rencontre des continents
Réseau de collectifs en recherche de résilience
Solidarité des alternatives wallonnes et bruxelloises (SAW-B)
Terre-en-vue
Union Nationale des Agrobiologistes Belges (UNAB)
Vereniging voor ecologisch leven en tuinieren (VELT)
Vitale rassen
Werkgroep Natuurlijk Imkeren (WNI)
Wervel

**Denmark**
Frie Bønder Levende Land

**France**
Agir pour l'Environnement
Association pour la promotion des préparations naturelles peu préoccupantes (ASPRO-PNPP)
Bioconsomacteurs
Comité de soutien aux faucheurs volontaires 49
Confédération Paysanne
Collectif les Pieds dans le Plat
Fédération nationale d'agriculture biologique (FNAB)
Générations Futures
Groupe International d’Études Transdisciplinaires (GIET)
Intelligence Verte
Les Amis de la Terre France
Loiret sans OGM
Mouvement de l'agriculture Biodynamique
Nature & Progrès France
Objectif Zéro OGM
OGM Dangers
Pollinis
Réseau Semences Paysannes
Sciences Citoyennes
Synabio
Vigilance OGM 2
Vigilance OGM 33
Vigilance OGM et Pesticides 16

**Germany**
Ecoland International
Interessengemeinschaft für gentechnikfreie Saatgutarbeit (IG Saatgut)
Save our Seeds

**Hungary**
Consultants for Sustainable Development
Fenntarthatóság Felé Egyesület - Towards Sustainability Association
Kishantosi Vidékfejlesztési Központ
Közép-magyarországi Zöld Kör
Magház Association
Magyar Természetvédelmi Szövetsége - Friends of the Earth Hungary
Védegyület Egyesület - Protect the Future

**Italy**
Associazione Rurale Italiana (ARI)
Centro Internazionale Crocevia
Unione Sindicale de Base (USB),
Federazione del Sociale
Verdi Ambiente e Società APS – ONLUS

**Portugal**
Plataforma Transgénicos Fora

**Slovakia**
AgroCert s.r.o.
BIOFARMA Liptovská Teplička, s.r.o.
Centrum environmentálnych aktivít-CEA
Druživa, o.z.
EKOTREND Slovakia - Zväz ekologického poľnohospodárstva
IPROVIN Slovakia
Občianska iniciatíva Slovensko bez GMO/Citizens initiative Slovakia without GMO
Vidiecky parlament na Slovensku
Zväz výrobcov krmív skladovateľov a obchodných spoločností

**Spain**
ALEKA
Ehne-Bizkaia